4

5

6

9

10 11

13

14

12

15

16 17

18 19

20 21

22 23

24

25 26

27 28 Adam Ghadiri 360 E. 1st St., #324

Tustin, CA 92780

Telephone: [949] 350-5556

Email Address: action4legal@yahoo.com

In Pro Per

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ADAM GHADIRI,

Plaintiff,

VS.

PRESTIGE SMOKE & VAPE, a business entity; QUYS ARIKAT, an individual; LATHAM PROPERTIES LLC, a California limited liability company,

Defendants

Case No.: SACV 19 - 00210 JLS (IDEX)

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF FOR VIOLATIONS OF AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT; CALIFORNIA UNRUH CIVIL RIGHTS ACT; GENERAL NEGLIGENCE

Plaintiff ADAM GHADIRI ("Plaintiff") complains of defendants PRESTIGE SMOKE & VAPE, QUYS ARIKAT, and LATHAM PROPERTIES LLC (all defendants collectively referenced herein as "Defendants") as follows.

PARTIES

- 1. Plaintiff is a California resident with physical disabilities. He suffers from severe arthritis in his knees and back. He has been diagnosed with spinal stenosis, neuropathy, and nerve damage. He has difficulty walking and uses a cane, a walker, and a wheelchair for mobility. He suffers daily with pain that requires him to take pain medication. He has a California driver's license and drives for business activities and for his household errands. Plaintiff has a California handicap parking placard that is prominently displayed in his vehicle.
- Defendant PRESTIGE SMOKE & VAPE is a retail smoke shop located at 4068 2. Redondo Beach Blvd., Torrance, CA 90504.
- 3. Plaintiff is informed and believes and on that basis alleges defendant QUYS ARIKAT is the business license holder and owner of PRESTIGE SMOKE & VAPE.
 - Plaintiff is informed and believes and on that basis alleges defendant LATHAM 4.

PROPERTIES LLC is the owner of the premises, building, and/or the land located at 4068 Redondo Beach Blvd., Torrance, CA 90504.

5. Plaintiff does not know the true names of all possible defendants, their business capacities, their ownership connection to the property and the business, or their relative responsibilities in causing the access violations herein complained of, and plaintiff alleges a joint venture and common enterprise by all defendants. Plaintiff is informed and believes that each of the defendants is responsible in some capacity for the events and damages alleged or is a necessary party for obtaining appropriate relief. Plaintiff shall seek leave to amend the complaint to name and add other defendants when their identities are ascertained.

JURISDICTION & VENUE

- 6. This court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S. Code § 1331 and § 1343(a)(3) & (a)(4) for violations of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, 42 U.S. Code § 12101, et seq.
- 7. Pursuant to pendant jurisdiction, an attendant and related cause of action arising from the same nucleus of transactions is brought under California's Unruh Civil Rights Act (Civil Code §§51-52) which expressly incorporates the Americans with Disabilities Act.
- 8. Venue is proper in this court pursuant to 28 U.S. Code § 1391(b) and is founded on the fact that the real property which is the subject of this action is located in this district and Plaintiff's causes of action arose in the district.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 9. Plaintiff went to PRESTIGE SMOKE & VAPE in or about October 2018 for routine business. When entering the parking lot, Plaintiff noticed there was no handicap parking space, no signs posted to indicate the location of reserved handicap parking, and there was no van access space. The condition of the parking lot, therefore, denied Plaintiff, as a disabled person, full and equal access to the business and caused him difficulty and frustration in his attempts to consummate business there.
- 10. Failing to provide full and properly maintained access to the handicap parking area amounts to a violation of the operative American with Disabilities Act Guidelines

8

9 10

13

12

15

14

16 17

> 18 19

20

2122

23

24

25

2627

28

("ADAAG"). Additionally, the lack of signage shows neglect and demonstrates Defendants are not implementing any policy of upkeep so as to give disabled persons practical access to the given premises and services equal to the access enjoyed by non-disabled persons; this amounts to illegal discrimination against disabled persons who wish to patronize PRESTIGE SMOKE & VAPE as a place of public accommodation.

Plaintiff personally encountered violations of applicable legal obligations and 11. standards that prevented him from full and equal access to convenient, safe, adequate, and appropriate parking facilities. Plaintiff would like to patronize this establishment again but cannot do so until the defendants remove these barriers or obstacles to proper access equal for everyone and correct all violations of law. Plaintiff seeks to have all barriers and obstacles related to disabled persons remedied, whichever may exist, regardless of whether he personally encountered any of them. See Doran v. 7-11, 506 F3d 1191 (9th Cir 2007), holding that once a handicapped plaintiff encounters one barrier to equal access at a given site, he can sue to have all other barriers relating to his disability removed even if he did not personally encounter those barriers on the given occasion. Additionally, Plaintiff believes and alleges that Defendants' failure to remedy and remove the specific barriers (difficulties) to access for disabled persons, as mentioned in Paragraph 9 above, is intentional because (a) these particular barriers are obvious, and (b) Defendants, jointly and severally, exercised full control and dominion over the conditions on the land and at the business at this particular location, and therefore the lack of and barriers to full equal access for disabled persons was not mere "accidental oversight", given that had Defendants intended any other situation they had the means and ability to make the land and business fully compliant with the legal requirements mandated by the laws, as set forth in the causes of action below.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION VIOLATION OF THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITES ACT OF 1990 (Against All Defendants (42 U.S. Code § 12101, et seq.))

- 12. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations contained in all prior paragraphs of this complaint.
 - Defendants own, operate, lease from, or lease to a place of public

11

12

10

13 14

15

16 17

18

20

19

21 22

23 24

25

26 27

28

accommodation commonly known as PRESTIGE SMOKE & VAPE. Under the ADA, it is an act of discrimination for any person(s) who owns, leases (or leases to), or operates a place of public accommodation to deny to disabled persons the full and equal enjoyment of the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations of any place of public accommodation. 42 U.S. Code § 12182(a). U.S. Code § 12182(b) defines discrimination, interalia, as follows:

- A failure to make reasonable modifications in policies, practices, a. or procedures, when such modifications are necessary to afford such goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations to individuals with disabilities, unless the entity can demonstrate that making such modifications would fundamentally alter the nature of such goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations. 42 U.S. Code § 12182(b)(2)(A)(ii).
- A failure to remove architectural barriers, and communication b. barriers that are structural in nature, in existing facilities, ... where such removal is readily achievable. See 42 U.S. Code § 12182(b)(2)(A)(iv). Barriers can be defined by reference to the ADAAG, found at 28 Code Federal Regulations, Part 36, Appendix D.
- A failure to design and construct facilities for first occupancy, if later than 30 months after July 26, 1990, that are readily accessible to and usable by individuals with disabilities, except where an entity can demonstrate that it is structurally impracticable to meet the requirements of such subsection in accordance with standards set forth or incorporated by reference in regulations issued under this subchapter. 42 U.S. Code § 12183(a)(1).
- Defendants are persons or business entities that own, operate, or lease a place of public accommodation. As such, Defendants are required to avoid discrimination and have specific duties to (1) ensure that all construction, alteration, or modification is barrier-free as to disabled persons and complies with the currently operative ADAAG; and/or (2) remove all existing barriers to disabled persons where such removal is readily achievable, and/or (3) to

provide alternatives to barrier removal for the benefit of the disabled persons so that they do enjoy equal access at places of public accommodation. Defendants have failed to meet these obligations. Consequently, Plaintiff is entitled to court-ordered relief against the defendants, to make sure that within six months from the beginning of this action the defendants render their public accommodation premises fully compliant with the ADA.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION VIOLATION OF THE UNRUH CIVIL RIGHTS ACT (Against All Defendants (Cal Civil Code § 51-53))

- 15. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations contained in all prior paragraphs of this complaint.
- 16. Because Defendants violated Plaintiff's rights under the ADA, they also violated the Unruh Civil Rights Act and are liable for damages. Civil Code § 51(f), 52(a).
- 17. Because the violation of the Unruh Civil Rights Act resulted in difficulty, discomfort, and embarrassment for the plaintiff, the defendants are also responsible for statutory damages, i.e., civil penalties. See Civil Code § 51(f), 52(a).

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION GENERAL NEGLIGENCE (Against All Defendants)

- 18. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations contained in all prior paragraphs of this complaint.
- 19. Defendants owe a duty of care to Plaintiff, arising under the ADA and Unruh Civil Rights Act, to provide safe, convenient, and accessible facilities. Their violations of this duty, as alleged in the preceding paragraphs of this complaint, has caused inconvenience, injury, and damage to Plaintiff in the amount of at least minimal amounts of damages allowed by applicable statutes, the exact amount to be determined at the trial.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays that this court award damages and provide relief against all named Defendants, jointly and severally, as follows:

1. For mandatory injunctive relief, compelling Defendants jointly and severally to comply with the Americans with Disability Act and the California Unruh Civil Rights Act by

fully correcting all violations of the requirements of these laws within six months of being served with Summons and Complaint in this action, and that said Defendants be required to report to Plaintiff and to the Court of the actual status of the correction of the defects charged in this Complaint on the next day after the six month period has expired.

- 2. For damages under the Americans with Disability Act and/or the Unruh Civil Rights Act where applicable, which statutes provide for actual damages and a statutory minimum of \$4,000. If the Plaintiff cannot recover under both Unruh and ADA, simultaneously, an election will be made prior to or at trial, at an appropriate stage in these legal proceedings.
- 3. For damages for general negligence, in the amount of at least minimal amounts of damages allowed by applicable statutes, or alternatively \$4000, the exact amount to be determined at trial.
- 4. For reasonable litigation expenses and costs of suit pursuant to 42 U.S. Code § 12205, Cal Civil Code § 52, and Cal Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5. Should Plaintiff eventually hire an attorney to represent him in this action, Plaintiff will seek reasonable attorneys' fees pursuant to 42 U.S. Code § 12205, Cal Civil Code § 52, and Cal Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5.
 - 5. For such other and further relief as the court deems just and proper.

Dated: February 1, 2019

Adam Ghadiri In Pro Per